

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/601,327	08/08/2000	Agnes Bidard	194339US	2254
22850	7590 07/31/2003			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE ALEXAND	TREET A, VA 22314		BUDD, MARK OSBORNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/601,327	BIDARD ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tamai IE Karl	2834					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 13 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application 1) a timely filed amendment whical (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in				
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverset, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months are adjusted and a stant terms of instance.	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the san SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE steen which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate exthe final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
 earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does No	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	• • •	,	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). W PRIM	ARY EXAMINER					
		Tamai IE Karl Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2834					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)



Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant's arguments are not persuasive, see final office action.